

# THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PHYSICAL ACTIVITY LEVELS AND PSYCHOLOGICAL RESILIENCE OF UNIVERSITY STUDENTS

Emrah SEÇER 1  
Yasemin ÇAKMAK YILDIZHAN 2

1Physical Education and Sports, Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University, Erzincan, Turkey,  
email: eseraggon@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3392-0634>

2 Physical Education and Sports, Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University, Erzincan, Turkey,  
email: ycakmak@erzincan.edu.tr; <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1937-6545>

**Abstract:** The aim of this study is to investigate the relationship between physical activity levels and psychological resilience of university students. Material and methods: The survey model, which is one of the quantitative research types, has been used in the research. The research group consists of 1734 students - 725 (41.8%) males and 1009 (58.2%) females) - studying at Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University. Psychological Resilience Scale (PRS) and International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) data was used as a collection tool. Descriptive statistics, chi-square test of independence, independent samples t-test, Anova (Tukey), correlation, and regression tests have been used in the analysis of the data. Result: As a result of the study, it has been seen that physical activity levels of men were higher than women and that there was no significant difference between the groups' psychological resilience. And when body mass indexes were examined, it was observed that physical activity levels of those with thin index were higher than those with normal and overweight index. In addition, a significant low-level positive correlation has been found between the physical activity levels. Conclusions: Physical activity level is a variable that predicts psychological resilience level.

**Keywords:** Physical Activity, Psychological Resilience, University Students.

## INTRODUCTION

Physical activity is defined as activities that result from energy expenditure using body functions in daily life, resulting in fatigue by increasing heartbeat and respiration (Savcı et al., 2006). Throughout the ages, physical activity has been an important issue for individuals to survive and transfer their characteristics to future generations. However, the growth of the world economy and the innovations brought by the technology age reduce the amount of energy consumed by individuals beside the benefits of saving time in working life, transportation and leisure activities (Alpözgen & Özdiñer, 2006). Although these developments contribute to individuals positively in terms of quality of life, they also cause some negative conditions. These include a variety of illnesses, such as excess weight, heart disease and diabetes, which can result from a sedentary lifestyle (Karakullukçu Özkan, 2015). Regular physical activity occupies an important place in life with a view to overcoming these negative conditions and minimizing health risks (Baş Aslan et al., 2007). In addition, because of the interaction with various groups through some activities, it provides important contributions to improve and maintain their mental and social health by improving individuals' communication skills, increasing their social adaptation and acceptance values, and contributing to the ability to overcome stress (Bek,

2008).

When the important studies on physical activity in the world and in our country are also examined, it is observed that the activity levels of individuals have started to decrease and that this is an important threat. In a study conducted with university students, it was found that more than half of the students were not active enough and did activities below the recommended level (Pinto et al., 1998). In another study with the participation of 15 European countries, it was concluded that the level of participation in physical activity was lower than expected (European Opinion Research Group, Special Eurobarometer 183-6, 2002). Also known as the HELENA study, which covers 9 European countries between 2006 and 2008, children with a mean age of 12.5-17.5 participated in the study. As a result of the study, it is stated that 56.8% of boys and 27.5% of girls comply with WHO activity level recommendation (Ruiz et al., 2011). In our country, "Turkey Chronic Diseases and Risk Factors Prevalence Study" report published by the Ministry of Health in 2013 shows that physical activity is given great importance. According to the results explained in the report, it is reckoned that the level of physical activity in our country is not sufficient; therefore, various measures should be taken (Ünal et al., 2013). Today, 60% of the world's population, which is around 7.44 billion, ignores the fact that physical activity is essential for health. However, one in 44 people worldwide is considered to lead an inactive life (www.who.int).

According to the World Health Organization, physical activity affects individuals' physical and psychological conditions. Besides, both "Psychological Health" concept among the psychological, cognitive and emotional factors that affect participating in activities, and "Mental Wellness" concept in the WHO's health definition are noteworthy (www.who.int). An individual is not only a creature that performs physical actions, but a phenomenon that is an intellect and that undergoes positive or negative mental experiences in life. To be healthy, it is required to preserve this integrity in the face of negative experiences in life considering that it is necessary to be physically and spiritually strong as well. One of the components of the spiritual structure exhibited in the face of these negativities is psychological resilience, which can also be expressed as self-recovery (Ağırkan, 2017). Psychological Resilience is defined as the ability of an individual to overcome and adapt to these situations despite difficult conditions and negative experiences (Öz & Bahadır Yılmaz, 2009). Individuals who devote themselves to different areas of and have control over their lives, who regard negative experiences as opportunities for personal development rather than being a life-threatening factor, improve their well-being skills by using effective coping strategies to combat negative experiences. It is considered that these individuals have a high level of psychological resilience and maintain their physical and mental health (Terzi, 2016). The aim of this study is to determine the relationship between physical activity levels and psychological resilience of university students. For this purpose, the following questions were tried to be answered:

- Is there a relationship between physical activity levels and gender and BMI of university students?
- Is there a significant difference between university students' psychological resilience levels and gender and BMI?
- Do physical activity levels of university students predict their psychological resilience?

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this study, relational screening method, which is one of the quantitative research methods, has been used to investigate the relationship between physical activity levels and psychological resilience of university students. Relational screening is a method that aims to determine whether there is a covariance between two or more variables and to determine the degree of this variance (Karasar, 2012).

## POPULATION AND SAMPLE

The population of the study consists of students at Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University in the 2017-2018 academic year. And the sample of the study is composed of 1734 students (725 (41.8%) male and 1009 (58.2%) female) who were selected by simple random sampling method and studied in the units located in the center of Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University. Simple random sampling is a method where each element of the universe has equal chance of entering the sample and the value given to each element is equal in evaluation (Arıkan, 2004). The distribution of students according to gender, age and body mass index variables are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Students Participating in the Study

Variable	Group	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	725	41.8
	Female	1009	58.2
Age	17-19	289	16.7
	20-21	810	46.7
	22 and above	635	36.6
Body Mass Index	Thin	187	10.8
	Normal	1280	73.8
	Overweight	267	15.4

When Table 1 is examined, it is seen that 41.8% of the students participated in the study were male and 58.2% were female, 83.3% were 20 years and older and 73.8% were in the normal weight group.

## DATA COLLECTION TOOL

Data collection tool consists of 3 parts. In the first part, the Personal Information Form, which was created by the researchers and which includes questions to determine the demographic characteristics of the participants, was used. In the second part, International Physical Activity Questionnaire (Short Form), consisting of 7 questions, was used. The questionnaire was designed in 1996 by Austrian Dr. Michael Booth to determine the relationship between health and physical activity levels of the society. The International Physical Activity Evaluation Group designed the IPAQ in short and long forms using this questionnaire. IPAQ is announced to be valid and reliable because of the analyses using test re-test method in 12 countries and 14 research centers in 6 continents (Atienza, 2001). The validity and reliability study of the questionnaire was carried out by Öztürk (2005) in a study conducted on university students and it was observed that the

questionnaire was valid and reliable (Öztürk, 2005). In the third part, Psychological Resilience Scale PRS developed by Terzi (2016) was used to determine the psychological resilience levels of individuals. PRS is a 5-point Likert-type scale that includes (0) strongly disagree, (1) disagree, (2) neither agree nor disagree, (3) agree and (4) strongly agree. In the PRS, which consists of 21 items expressing the individual's life and beliefs about themselves, reverse scores are made in the 2nd and 15th items. To determine the construct validity of this scale, exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses were conducted and it is specified that the scale consists of 21 items and 3 sub-dimensions. These dimensions are called self-commitment, control and challenge. While the Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient for the whole scale was .76, the subscale for self-commitment was .62, the control subscale for .69, and the challenge sub-dimension for .74. In this study, Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient has been calculated as .74 for the whole scale.

## ANALYSIS OF DATA

During the research process, all analyses have been carried out with SPSS 22.00 program on computer. All data during the research process were collected by the researcher after obtaining the necessary application and ethical permissions. During the research process, the scales were applied to 1870 students and primarily the missing data and the data of 110 students who were found to fill out the questionnaire systematically were excluded from the scope before being transferred to computer. Firstly, extreme value analysis, kurtosis and skewness values, Mahalanobis' and Cook's distance values of the data transferred to the computer were calculated and extracted from the data set of 26 students and the analyses were carried out on 1734 students. In addition, while the BMI of the students were being calculated, height and weight ( $BMI = \text{kg} / \text{height}^2$ ) of the students were calculated and they were categorized according to the classification specified by WHO (WHO Technical Report Series 894, 2000). Descriptive analyses were carried out by calculating the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values that describe physical activity levels in addition to frequency and percentage values to determine the personal characteristics of the students in the sample group.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this part of the study, which has been conducted to designate the relationship between physical activity levels and psychological resilience of university students, chi-square, t-test, Anova, correlation and regression analysis results have been used to identify the relationships between the descriptive analyses describing the characteristics of the participants' scale scores and variables.

Table 2. Classification of Students' Physical Activity Scores from International Physical Activity Questionnaire

Physical Activity Level (MET-min/week)	N	Min.	Max.	Average ± Standard Deviation
Inactive (<600)	300	89.50	594.00	376.27±123.04
Minimal Active (600-3000)	847	612.00	2994.00	1662.98±692.31
Active (>3000)	587	3012.00	10692.00	5073.42±1690.52
Total	1734	89.50	10692.00	2594.88±2135.48

When the physical activity scores of the participants according to the MET values in Table 2 are examined, it has been concluded that the mean values of those who performed physical activity at an inactive level are  $\bar{X} = 376.27$ , of those with minimal active physical activity are  $\bar{X} = 1662.98$  and of those with very active physical activity are  $\bar{X} = 5073.42$ .

Table 3. Mean, Standard Deviation, Minimum, Maximum, Skewness and Kurtosis of Students' Scale Scores

Factor	N	Min.	Max.	Ave.	S.d.	Skewness	Kurtosis
Physical Activity	1734	89.5	10692.0	2594.88	2135.48	1.13	.85
Psychological Resilience	1734	18	81	59.17	8.59	-.53	.57

Table 3 reveals the descriptive statistics of students' scale scores. It is observed that the skewness and kurtosis coefficients of the scales are between -1.5 and +1.5. Tabaschnick and Fidell (2013) state that if the scale's skewness and kurtosis values are between -1.5 - +1.5, the data will exhibit normal distribution (Tabaschnick & Fidell, 2013). Therefore, it is considered that parametric tests are suitable for comparing the data.

Table 4. Comparison of Physical Activity Level Groups According to Gender of Students

Gender		Physical Activity Level Group (MET-min/week)			$\chi^2$	p
		Low	Medium	High		
Male	N	77	314	334	94.88	.00**
	%	10.6	43.3	46.1		
Female	N	223	533	253		
	%	22.1	52.8	25.1		
Total	N	300	847	587		
	%	17.3	48.8	33.9		

\*\*p<.01

When the relationship between physical activity levels and gender of university students is examined, it is found that there is a relationship between the gender and physical activity levels of students ( $\chi^2=94.88$ ; p<.01). According to these results, 46.1% of male students are observed to be in high level and 52.8% of female students are in middle level physical activity group. According to this result, it can be stated that male students are more active than female students.

Table 5. Comparison of Psychological Resilience Levels According to Gender of Students (t-test)

Factor	Group	N	$\bar{X}$	Ss	t	p
Psychological Resilience	Male	725	59.58	8.62	1.68	.09
	Female	1009	58.87	8.57		

\* p<.05

In Table 5, in the results of the independent samples t-test used to determine whether students' psychological resilience levels differed significantly

according to their gender, it has become apparent that the difference between the averages is not significant ( $t_{1732}=-1.68$ ;  $p>.05$ ).

Table 6. Comparison of Physical Activity Level Groups According to Body Mass Index of Students

Body Mass Index		Physical Activity Level Group (MET-min/week)			$\chi^2$	p
		Low	Medium	High		
Thin	N	50	98	39	22.98	.00**
	%	26.7	52.4	20.9		
Normal	N	213	616	451		
	%	16.6	48.1	35.2		
Overweight	N	37	133	97		
	%	13.9	49.8	36.3		
Total	N	300	847	587		
	%	17.3	48.8	33.9		

\*\* $p<.01$

When Table 6 is examined to determine whether there is a relationship between physical activity levels and body mass indexes of students, it is found that there is a relationship between physical activity level and BMI. ( $\chi^2=22.98$ ;  $p<.01$ ). It can also be claimed that activity level decreases as the index of the students with low activity level increases and activity levels increase as the indexes of the students with high activity level increase

Table 7. Comparison of Psychological Resilience Levels of Students According to Body Mass Index (One Way Anova)

Factor	Group	N	$\bar{X}$	Ss	Sd	F	p	Tukey
Psychological Resilience	Thin (1)	187	57.6	8.8	2/173	3.8	.02	1<2*
	Normal (2)	128	59.4	8.5				
	Overweight (3)	267	58.8	8.7				

\*  $p<.05$

In the results of the one-factor ANOVA used to determine whether the students' psychological resilience levels differ significantly according to their body mass indexes, it has been concluded that the difference is significant ( $F_{1731}=3.89$ ;  $p<.05$ ). In order to determine the difference between the means, the Tukey test which is one of the multiple comparison tests has been applied and the psychological resilience levels of those with normal index  $\bar{X}$ :59.45 have been found to be significantly higher than  $\bar{X}$ :57.62 for those with thin index. A two-stage analysis has been applied to identify whether physical activity level is a significant predictor of psychological resilience in university students. Correlation analysis was applied in the first stage and the relationships between the variables were determined and in the second stage the regression analysis was used to

examine the predictive relations. The results of the correlation analysis are presented in Table 8.

Table 8. Relationship Between Physical Activity Level and Psychological Resilience

		<b>Self-Commitment</b>	<b>Challenge</b>	<b>Control</b>	<b>Total Psychological Resilience</b>
<b>Physical Activity</b>	r	.14**	.13**	.12**	.17**
	p	.00	.00	.00	.00

\*p<.01

When Table 8 is examined, it has been found that there are low-level positive relationships between physical activity and the self-commitment sub-dimension of psychological resilience ( $r = .14, p < .01$ ), physical activity and challenge sub-dimension ( $r = .13, p < .01$ ), physical activity and control sub-dimension ( $r = .12, p < .01$ ) physical activity and total psychological resilience ( $r = .17, p < .01$ ). Since significant relationships between variables were noted in correlation analysis, simple linear regression analysis was used to analyze the predictive relationships and the findings are presented below.

Table 9. Results of Regression Analysis of Students' Physical Activity Levels Predicting Psychological Resilience Levels

<b>Model</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>S. Error</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>R<sup>2</sup></b>
<b>(Constant)</b>	57.33	.320		179.20	.00		
<b>Physical Activity</b>	.001	.000	.176	7.42	.00	.17	.3

R=.17, R<sup>2</sup>= .3, F= 55.14, p<.01

Table 9 shows that physical activity level is a positive and significant predictor of psychological resilience among university students and physical activity level explains .03% of psychological resilience among university students ( $R = .17, R^2 = .03, F = 55.14, p < .01$ ). When the obtained values are examined, it is possible to argue that one-unit change in the physical activity level will cause an increase of .17 units in the psychological resilience level, and that the physical activity level is an important predictor in terms of psychological resilience in university students. In the research, it has been monitored that there is a relationship between the gender variable and physical activity levels of the students and male students have higher activity level than female students. When the related literature is researched, it is seen that in their study which investigates the university students' status of physical activity and effects of physical activity on heart health, Oğuz et al. (2018) concluded that male students has higher levels of physical activity than females (Oğuz et al., 2008). In the study that Papathanasiou et al. (2015) conducted where 1249 university students participated in Greece and in various studies of Zavonec et al. (2015) on university students, it has been found that physical activity levels of male students are significantly higher than female students (Papathanasiou et al., 2015; Zanovec et al., 2009). Similarly, in another study conducted by Haase et al. (2004), which is one of the most comprehensive studies, and which compares the physical activity

levels of 19,298 university students in 23 different countries, it has been found that male students have higher levels of physical activity than female students (Haase et al., 2004). In a study conducted by Savcı et al. (2006) aiming to observe the physical activity levels of university students, it has been found that male students have significantly higher physical activity levels than female students (Savcı et al., 2006).

In the research, no significant difference has been found between the psychological resistance levels of university students according to gender variable. When the literature is examined, it is seen that the number of studies to determine the difference between psychological resilience and gender is quite high. In the study conducted by Vergili (2018) aiming to identify the relationship between university students' attachment styles and their psychological resilience, 150 participants participated in the study and found no difference between gender and psychological resilience. In this study, it is also emphasized that males' psychological resilience level is expected to be high. This prejudice results from the opinion that men are individuals who take care of the house and take responsibility in life; women are said to be delicate and need protection (Vergili, 2018). It is seen that similar results were found in the studies conducted by Yilmazer (2017) in which the candidate teachers preparing for the public personnel selection exam attended and by Ağırkan (2017) where university students participated (Yilmazer, 2017; Ağırkan, 2017). Likewise, in a study conducted by Kumar et al. (2016), 72 elite volleyball players have been found to show no significant difference between gender and psychological resilience. In addition, it has been stated that female and male volleyball players having similar fitness level, sporting skills and emotional characteristics may cause this result (Kumar et al., 2016).

In our study, a relationship has been found between BMI variable and physical activity levels of university students. It is observed that physical activity level decreases as the weight increases in students with low activity level, and that physical activity level increases as the weight increases in students with high activity level (table 6). Again, regarding various studies examined in the literature, in the study by Ipek (2018) conducted with the participation of 1970 university students, while it was observed that there is a significant difference between the levels of physical activity according to BMI, the students with overweight index were more active than the students with normal and thin index. In addition, 61% of overweight students, 47% of normal overweight students and 29% of thinner students are in the active category (İpek, 2018). In the study conducted by Parlaktaş (2018) in which secondary school students participated, a significant difference was found between the BMI and physical activity levels of the students, whereas the physical activity levels of the students with a thin index were significantly higher than those with both normal and overweight index (Parlaktaş, 2018). Similarly, in a different study in which secondary school students participated, physical activity levels of the students are found to be significantly higher than those with thin index and those with normal index (Kara, 2017). Bradbury et al. (2017) observed in their study held on adults in the UK that there is an inverse relationship between BMI and physical activity in adults and that body fat ratio decreases with increasing physical activity (Bradbury et al., 2017).

In our study, a significant difference has been found between the psychological resilience levels of university students according to BMI variable. It is observed that the psychological resilience levels of the participants in the normal index are significantly higher than those in the thin index. In a study conducted by Aslan (2018) with 150 participants in Kocaeli province, body mass index and psycho-social factors were



examined in women and it was concluded that there is a significant difference between weight and psychiatric history (Aslan, 2018). In another study conducted by Altunoğlu et al. (2015), it is found that there is a relationship between obesity and depression in middle-aged individuals and that obesity or overweight could negatively affect their psychological resilience (Güldal Altunoğlu et al., 2015). In the research, it has been concluded that there is a low-level positive relationship between physical activity levels and psychological resilience of university students. In addition, it is observed that physical activity level significantly predicted psychological resilience. According to the related literature such as in a study conducted by İlhan (2017) on 300 university students where the relationship between exercise participants and psychological resilience and different variables is investigated, a significant difference has been found between weekly exercise frequency and psychological resilience (İlhan, 2017). In a study conducted by Schall et al. (2011), it was determined that professional activity contributed positively to psychological resilience level (Schaal et al., 2011). In studies conducted with different age groups, Babiss and Gangwisch (2009) with 14,594 adolescent participants and Dishman et al. (2006) with 1,250 female high school students observed that regular sports have positive effects on psychology and increase psychological power (Babiss & Gangwisch, 2009; Dishman et al., 2006). In a study held by Şahin et al. (2012) it is suggested that students should participate in activities regularly as participation in physical activities strengthens the physical structure of the individual and as a result contributes to the individual in terms of psychological support to the struggle against difficulties (Şahin et al., 2012).

When the research findings are investigated from a different perspective, the high level of psychological resilience in individuals may indicate that negative emotions such as depression, worry and anxiety are experienced at a low level (Çavaş Bali, 2017; Terzi, 2005; Florian et al., 1995; Rhodewalt & Zone, 1989). This situation should be supported by various research findings. In studies conducted by Arslan, et al. (2018) on 140 university students and by Işık, et al. (2015) on 300 university students, a weak negative relationship has been found between the level of physical activity and depression (Serel Arslan et al., 2018; Işık et al., 2015). Mammen and Faulkner (2013) stated that increasing the level of physical activity is an important strategy in reducing the risk of depression in their systematic review (Mammen & Faulkner, 2013). In another study, Carless and Douglas (2008) stated that regular physical activity is very useful in the fight against obesity, chronic diseases and health and emphasized that sport contributes positively to individuals' identity acquisition and plays an important role in the prevention of mental disorders (Carless & Douglas, 2008).

When the studies examining the relationship between physical activity and psychological resilience are evaluated in general, it is possible to claim that there is a negative relationship between physical activity and depression and anxiety, and in line with these results, we can suggest that individuals who perform physical activity have high psychological resilience. As seen in all these studies, physical activity is regarded to be an important factor with positive effects on the psychology of individuals. In today's technology age, it is inevitable to encounter difficulties as individuals are thought to have different relationships in many environments such as business life, social life, family life etc. At this stage, individuals may become vulnerable to psychological disorders because of psychological and physical fatigue. To avoid this situation, it is important to consider physical activity as a hobby and to make good use of leisure time with various activities.

## CONCLUSIONS

In the research, it has been concluded that there is a relationship between gender variable and physical activity level and that male students are more active than female students. It is also observed that there is no difference between the gender variable and students' psychological resilience. There is a relationship between BMI variable and physical activity level, and it has been ascertained that those in thin index are more active than those in normal index and overweight index. It has also been found that there is a significant difference between BMI and students' psychological resilience levels and that those in the normal index category are significantly higher than those in the thin index category. The most important result of the research is that there is a positive low-level relationship between physical activity level and psychological resilience level and that physical activity level is a variable that predicts psychological resilience level.

In the light of the findings of the research;

- The relationship between exercise and psychological resilience can be examined by using experimental research method.

- Psychological resilience levels of performance athlete groups can be examined.

The effect of psychological resilience levels of athletes on their performances can be examined.

## REFERENCES

1. Savcı S, Öztürk M, Arıkan H, İnal D, Tokgözoğlu L. (2006). Physical activity levels of university students. *Archives of The Turkish Society of Cardiology*. 34(3), 166-172.
2. Alpözgen AZ, Özdiñer AR. (2006). Physical activity and protective effects. *HSP*, 3(1), 66-.
3. Karakullukçu Özkan, A. (2015). Examination of physical activity level of Kirikkale University students pedometer. Master's Thesis, Kirikkale University, Kirikkale.
4. Baş Aslan U, Livanelioğlu A, Aslan Ş. (2007). Evaluation of physical activity level in undergraduate students by two methods. *Fizyoterapi Rehabilitasyon*, 18(1), 11-19.
5. Bek N. (2008). *Fiziksel Aktivite ve Sağlığımız*. Ankara: Klasmat Matbaacılık.
6. Pinto BM, Cherico NP, Szymanski L, Marcus BH. (1998). Longitudinal changes in college students exercise participation. *Journal of American College Health*, 47 (1): 23-31.
7. European Opinion Research Group, Special Eurobarometer 183-6, (2002). *Physical Activity*, wave: 58.2, 1-49, 2002.
8. Ruiz JR, Ortega FB, Martínez-Gómez D, Labayen I, Moreno LA, De Bourdeaudhuij I, et al. (2011). Objectively measured physical activity and sedentary time in european adolescents the HELENA study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, kwr068.
9. Ünal B, Ergör G, Dinç Horasan G, Kalaça S, Sönmez K. (2013). Türkiye kronik hastalıklar ve risk faktörleri sıklığı çalışması. Ankara: Anıl Matbaa.
10. World Health Organization. [[http://www.who.int/topics/physical\\_activity/en/](http://www.who.int/topics/physical_activity/en/)] [Accessed on 24 May, 2018].
11. Ağırkan, M. (2017). The relationship between value orientations and psychological resilience levels of university students. Master's Thesis, Erzincan University, Erzincan.
12. Öz F, Bahadır Yılmaz E. (2009). A significant concept in protecting mental health: resilience. *Journal of Hacettepe University Faculty of Nursing*, 16(3), 82-89.
13. Terzi, Ş. (2016). Developing the psychological hardiness scale: the validity and reliability study. *The Journal of Happiness & Well-Being*, 4(2), 165-182.

14. Karasar N. (2012). Bilimsel araştırma yöntemi. Ankara: Nobel Yayınevi.
15. Arıkan R. (2004). Araştırma teknikleri ve rapor hazırlama. Ankara: Asil Yayın;
16. Atienza AA. (2001). Review of empirically based physical activity program for middle aged to older adults. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*, 9, 38-55.
17. Öztürk, M. (2005). A research on reliability and validity of international physical activity questionnaire and determination of physical activity level in university students. Master's Thesis, Hacettepe University, Ankara.
18. WHO Technical Report Series 894. (2000). Report of a who consultation obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Switzerland.
19. Tabaschnick BG, Fidell LS. (2013). Using multivariate statistics (6th Edit.). Boston: Pearson.
20. Oğuz S, Çamcı G, Yılmaz RK. (2018). State of university students' physical activity and knowing the effect of physical activity on heart health. *Gümüşhane University Journal of Health Sciences*, 7(1), 54-61.
21. Papathanasiou G, Zerva E, Zacharis I, Papandreou M, Papageorgiou E, Tzima C, Georgakopoulos D, Evangelou A. (2015). Association of high blood pressure with body mass index, smoking and physical activity in healthy young adults. *Open Cardiovascular Medicine Journal*, 27, 5-17.
22. Zanovec M, Lakkakula AP, Johnson LG, Turri G. (2009). Physical activity is associated with percent body fat and body composition but not body mass index in white and black college students. *International Journal of Exercise Science*, 2, 175-85.
23. Haase A, Steptoe A, Sallis JF. (2004). Leisure time physical activity in university students from 23 countries: associations with health beliefs, risk awareness and national economic development. *Preventive Medicine*, 39, 182-90.
24. Vergili, M. (2018). The Relationship between attachment types and psychological resilience in university students. Master's Thesis, Üsküdar University, İstanbul.
25. Yılmaz, F. (2017). Resilience and burnout of the teachers who prepared for kpss depending test anxiety. master's thesis, üsküdar university, istanbul.
26. Kumar S, Singh SN, Mitra S. (2016). Comparison of mental toughness between male and female volleyball players of 12th south asian games. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 2(6), 268-270.
27. İpek, C. (2018). The investigation of relationship between the physical activity level and body composition in young adults. Master's Thesis, Selcuk University, Konya.
28. Parlaktaş, Y. (2018). The Relationship between physical activity level and academic achievement in middle school 8th grade students. Master's Thesis, Kırıkkale University, Kırıkkale.
29. Kara, M. G. (2017). Investigation of Physical Activity Levels and Obesity Awareness Levels of Middle School Students. Master's Thesis, İnönü University, Malatya.
30. Bradbury KE, Guo W, Cairns BJ, Armstrong ME, Key TJ. (2017). Association between physical activity and body fat percentage, with adjustment for bmi: a large cross-sectional analysis of uk biobank, *BMJ Open* 7.
31. Aslan N. (2018). Determination of psychosocial factors related to the body mass index in women. *Journal of Health Sciences of Kocaeli University*, 4(2), 50-56.
32. Güldal Altunoğlu E, Müderrisoğlu C, Erdenen F, Ülgen E, Memiş İ. (2015). The association between obesity-insulin resistance and depression-anxiety: one center, cross-sectional study. *İstanbul Medical Journal*, 16, 62.
33. İlhan, A. (2017). The Relationship between psychological resilience, dispositional flow and motivation in the exercise participants. Phd Thesis, Ege University, İzmir.

34. Schaal K, Tafflet M, Nassif H, Thibault V, Pichar, C, Alcotte M. et. al. (2011). Psychological balance in high level athletes: gender-based differences and sport-specific patterns. *PLoS One*, 6(5), 1-9.
35. Babiss LA, Gangwisch JE. (2009). Sports participation as a protective factor against depression and suicidal ideation in adolescents as mediated by self-esteem and social support. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics*, 30(5), 376-384.
36. Dishman RK, Hales DP, Pfeiffer KA, Felton GA, Saunders R, Ward DS, Dowda M, Pate RR. (2006). Physical self-concept and self-esteem mediate cross-sectional relations of physical activity and sport participation with depression symptoms among adolescent girls. *Health Psychology*, 25(3), 396-407.
37. Şahin M, Yetim AA, Çelik A. (2012). Physical activity and sport as a preventive factor in building resilience. *The Journal of Academic Social Science Studies*, 5(8), 373-380.
38. Çavaş Bali S. (Ekim 2017). Psikolojik Dayanıklılığın Psikopatolojik Yansımaları [Panel]. 53.Ulusal Psikiyatri Kongresi, Türkiye Psikiyatri Derneği, Bursa/Merinos AKKM.
39. Terzi, Ş. (2005). Psychological hardiness model relating to subjective well being. Phd Thesis, Gazi University, Ankara.
40. Florian V, Mikulincer M, Taubman O. (1995). Does hardiness contribute to mental health during a stressful real life situation? the roles of appraisal and coping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68, 687-695.
41. Rhodewalt F, Zane JB. (1989). Appraisal of life change, depression and illness in hardy and nonhardy women. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56, 81-88.
42. Serel Arslan S, Alemdaroğlu İ, Öksüz Ç, Karaduman AA, Tunca Yılmaz Ö. (2018). The effect of physical activity on academic success and depression in young individuals. *Journal of Occupational Therapy And Rehabilitation*, 6(1), 37-42.
43. Işık Ö, Özarslan A, Bekler F. (2015). The Correlation among physical activity, quality of sleep and depression among the university students. *Niğde University Journal of Physical Education And Sport Sciences*, 9, 65-73.
44. Mammen G, Faulkner G. (2013). Physical activity and the prevention of depression: a systematic review of prospective studies. *American Journal Of Preventive Medicine*, 45(5), 649-657.
45. Carless D, Douglas K. (2008). Narrative, identity and mental health: how men with serious mental illness re-story their lives through sport and exercise. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 9 (5), 576-594.